1 2 3 4 5	MINUTES OF THE REGULAR PINOLE PLANNING COMMISSION			
6 7		December 12, 2016		
8 9	A.	CALL TO ORDER: 7:03 P.M.		
10 11	В.	PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE AND ROLL CALL:		
12 13		Commissioners Present: Brooks, Tave, Thompson, Wong, Chair Kurrent		
14 15		Commissioners Absent: Martinez-Rubin, Hartley		
16 17		Staff Present: Winston Rhodes, Planning Manager		
18 19	C.	CITIZENS TO BE HEARD:		
20 21		There were no citizens to be heard.		
22 23	D.	CONSENT CALENDAR:		
24 25		Planning Commission Meeting Minutes from November 21, 2016		
26 27		2. 2017 Planning Commission Regular Meeting Schedule		
28 29 30		Chair Kurrent requested that Item D2 be pulled from the Consent Calendar for discussion.		
31 32 33		<b>MOTION</b> to approve the Planning Commission Meeting Minutes from November 21, 2016, as submitted.		
34 35 36		MOTION: Thompson SECONDED: Brooks APPROVED: 5-0-2 ABSENT: Martinez-Rubin, Hartley		
37 38 39 40		Chair Kurrent requested that the meeting shown for December 18, 2017 be rescheduled to December 11, 2017 and Commissioner Tave requested that the meeting shown for November 20, 2017 be rescheduled to November 13, 2017.		
41 42 43 44		<b>MOTION</b> to modify the 2017 Planning Commission Regular Meeting Schedule with the meeting dates for December and November 2017 to be modified, as follows: December 11, 2017 and November 13, 2017		

1 2 3		MOTION: Brooks	SECONDED: Thompson APPROVED: 5-0-2 ABSENT: Martinez-Rubin, Hartley
4	E.	PUBLIC HEARINGS:	
5 6		1. Conditional Use P	ermit 16-08: Maria's Daycare
7 8 9 10 11 12		Request:	Consideration of a use permit request to expand the daycare capacity of an existing small family daycare home for up to 8 children to a large family daycare home for up to 14 children within an approximately 2,234 square foot single-family residence
13 14 15 16		Applicant:	Maria Magana 1191 Marlesta Road Pinole, CA 94564
17 18		Location:	1191 Marlesta Road; APN 402-133-009
19 20 21		Project Staff:	Winston Rhodes, Planning Manager
22		This item has	been continued to January 23, 2017
23 24 25 26 27 28 29		item would be continued 2017. He reported that structures that had not be	on Rhodes explained there was no staff report since the to the Planning Commission meeting of January 23, staff had been to the site and had found some built een included in the application materials, one of which he daycare operation. Staff would need to inspect that fety.
30 31		PUBLIC HEARING OPEN	ED
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40		daycare operation given animals on-site including another neighbor had bee parking and leaving diap settled. He noted the lor	Marlesta Road, Pinole, opposed the expansion of the the noise impacts and since the applicant also had birds, which made noise. He reported that he and n involved in court cases against the applicant regarding ers outside the property, which court case, had been ag-term struggle with the applicant and the fact that his ty shared side by side backyards.
41 42 43 44 45		in the rear yard for the chi stated that children were c	the applicant had installed some playing areas and nets ldren in the daycare and expressed concern with safety; butside all day; there were many unknown people coming noise remained an ongoing concern; and the properties

In response to the Chair, Mr. Tejada provided extensive details on the court case he had filed against the applicant; stated it appeared that more than one family lived on the property; and noted that there had been some confusion between the name of the applicant and his mother who shared the same first name.

Mr. Rhodes clarified that small family daycare operations were allowed in the City of Pinole by right and cities could not prevent small family daycare operations from occupying a single-family residence, although they were required to meet specific criteria and licensing by the State. In response to the parking concerns, he confirmed that similar concerns had been raised by others in the neighborhood via e-mail. The Pinole Police Department had been contacted to provide information on any calls for service to the subject property.

Mr. Rhodes reiterated that a staff report had not been prepared since the item would be continued, although he understood the applicant planned to expand the current hours of operation of 7:00 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. to provide more flexibility for drop-off and pick-up; did not plan to have more than eight children during the current hours of operation; and more information would be provided when the staff report was finalized.

Chair Kurrent asked staff to address in the staff report yet to be prepared the Planning Commission's discretion related to hours of operation, and/or noise abatement and control.

In response to Commissioner Thompson as to the number of occupants on the property, Mr. Rhodes clarified that the applicant was not present since the item would be continued, and although the number of people residing in the residence was not under the Planning Commission's discretion, the number of employees or the hours of operation could be evaluated by the Commission.

Commissioner Thompson asked staff to also address the hours when children were outside.

In response to comments as to the number of birds on the property and whether they were compatible with the daycare operation, and the other issues raised by the neighbors and the Commission, Mr. Rhodes reiterated that the item would be continued to January 23, 2017, would not require re-notification, and additional information would be provided at that time. If the requested information was not provided, the item could be continued and the public hearing would be re-noticed.

## 2. Design Review 16-23 and Conditional Use Permit 16-06: Happy Ramen Restaurant with Alcohol Sales

**Request:** Consideration of design review request to modify an existing approximately 2,584 square foot commercial

building for a restaurant including a use permit request 1 to sell beer and wine within the restaurant for on-site 2 3 consumption. 4 Applicant: Richard Brunelle 5 1552 167<sup>th</sup> Avenue 6 San Leandro, CA 94578 7 8 9 Location: 1907 San Pablo Avenue, APN 401-112-029 10 Winston Rhodes, Planning Manager 11 **Project Staff:** 12 This item has been continued to January 23, 2017 13 14 Mr. Rhodes stated the item would be continued to the Planning Commission 15 meeting of January 23, 2017, and the applicant had been notified of the 16 continuance by email. After staff had inspected the site, staff had found issues 17 with the single-family residence on the same parcel related to the condition of the 18 front yard and work to enclose the patio area absent permits. 19 20 enforcement issues would have to be resolved prior to consideration of the design 21 review and conditional use permit requests, which had necessitated the continuance. 22 23 24 F. **OLD BUSINESS:** None 25 26 G. **NEW BUSINESS:** 27 1. 28 **Planning Commission Subcommittee Discussion** 29 Mr. Rhodes described the make-up, purpose, and periodic meetings as needed of 30 the Planning Commission Development Review Subcommittee and the 31 establishment of other subcommittees as needed to address specific aspects of 32 certain projects. For example, a subcommittee to review the East Bluffs 33 Apartments outstanding item related to location and design of on-site bicycle 34 In that case, ongoing efforts have been in progress to schedule a 35 36 subcommittee discussion on the project site to provide direction to the applicant. Another subcommittee had been formed to review the faux clock antenna tower 37 38 design details for the CVS Pharmacy project and staff was waiting for greater details from the applicant in that case to finalize the design. Once received, the 39 40 information would be forwarded to the subcommittee members electronically for 41 further feedback to confirm past direction. 42

43

44

45

Mr. Rhodes reported that the East Bluff Apartments had installed temporary bicycle parking on-site but was planning on a method that met the City's requirements, and while he could obtain graphic information for review, it was

important for staff, the subcommittee members, and the applicant to be on-site at the same time to address any concerns.

By consensus, the East Bluff Apartments bicycle parking subcommittee members requested a Friday or Saturday meeting with staff and the applicant to meet on site.

By consensus, the Planning Commission determined to consider whether or not to expand the existing two person Development Review subcommittee in March 2017 when the subcommittee member composition will be determined for 2017- 2018.

## H. <u>CITY PLANNER'S / COMMISSIONERS' REPORT</u>:

Mr. Rhodes stated the next meeting of the Planning Commission would be on January 23, 2017 and would include the two agenda items which had been continued and possibly additional items. He also reported that two Development Services Department staff members had resigned to take positions in other cities, which could affect the speed of processing applications until permanent replacement employees could be found. The City would rely on contract staff and shifting the work responsibilities of existing staff members during the recruitment period for the positions.

Mr. Rhodes mentioned the recent Planning Commission Conference at Sonoma State and advised that links to PowerPoint copies from the Conference sessions would be made available to the Commission. He also acknowledged the Conference had reached capacity before while trying to confirm all Planning Commission member interest in attending as this Conference is getting increasingly popular. The next Commissioner training opportunity will be the upcoming League of California Cities Planning Commissioner Institute in March 2017. Further information would be provided to Commissioners when available.

Mr. Rhodes also updated the Planning Commission on the status of the recently opened Starbucks in the Gateway Shopping Center; the request to expand a fourth building in the shopping center to be submitted for Commission review next year; status of the plans for a fifth building in the area of the Gateway area for an eye surgery center, which was currently not yet submitted for building plan check; and the goal for the other tenants in the Gateway Shopping Center to be open in the coming weeks. In addition, the Resource Conservation District and the City had been considering the potential for grant funds for interpretative signage for the fish passage project and any new information would be brought forward when available. In response to questions, staff advised that the required width of the sidewalk adjacent to Sprouts will be verified by the Public Works Inspector and satisfied prior to final building occupancy and private property accessibility will be checked for compliance with applicable standards and provided prior to final occupancy.

1		
2		Chair Kurrent announced the passing of Virginia Fugita, a former member of the
3		City Council and City of Pinole Human Resources staff, and requested that the
4		meeting be adjourned in her memory.
5		
6		Chair Kurrent wished everyone a Happy Holiday.
7		
8	I.	COMMUNICATIONS: None
9	_	
10	J.	NEXT MEETING:
11		The section of the Diserter Occupies and the Decision Manufacture
12		The next meeting of the Planning Commission will be a Regular Meeting to be
13		held on Monday, January 23, 2017 at 7:00 P.M.
14 15	K.	ADJOURNMENT: 8:13 P.M in Memory of Virginia Fujita.
16	rx.	ADJOOKNIMENT. 0.13 F.W III Wellory of Virginia Fujita.
17		Transcribed by:
18		Transcribed by.
19		
20		Anita L. Tucci-Smith
21		Transcriber
22		